**MONARCH Inventory**

(Improved version of the Modified Naranjo Criteria for Homeopathy)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DOMAINS** | Yes | No | Not Sure or N/A | Score for successfully treated COVID-19 case |
| 1 | Was there an improvement in the main symptom or condition for which the homeopathic medicine was prescribed? | +2 | -1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Did the clinical improvement occur within a plausible timeframe relative to the medicine intake? | +1 | -2 | 0 |  |
| 3 | Was there a homeopathic aggravation of symptoms? | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | Did the effect encompass more than the main symptom or condition (i.e., were other symptoms, not related to the main presenting complaint, improved or changed)? | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Did overall well-being improve? (suggest using a validated scale or mention about changes in physical, emotional, and behavioral elements) | +1  | 0  | 0 |  |
| 6A | *Direction of cure:* did some symptoms improve in the opposite order of the development of symptoms of the disease? | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6B | *Direction of cure:* did *at least one* of the following aspects apply to the order of improvement in symptoms:–from organs of more importance to those of less importance?–from deeper to more superficial aspects of the individual?–from the top downward?  | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7 | Did “old symptoms” (defined as non-seasonal and non-cyclical symptoms that were previously thought to have resolved) reappear temporarily during the course of improvement? | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8 | Are there alternative causes (i.e. other than the medicine) that—with a high probability—could have produced the improvement? (Consider known course of disease, other forms of treatment, and other clinically relevant interventions) | -3 | +1 | 0 |  |
| 9 | Was the health improvement confirmed by any objective evidence? (e.g., investigations, clinical examination, etc.) | +2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10 |  Did repeat dosing, if conducted, create similar clinical improvement? | +1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| **Total score\*** |  |

\* As a rough rule of thumb, a score of 6 or higher in acute cases is indicative of -at least some of- the observed changes being due to the homeopathic treatment. In cases with a score less than 6, a strong justification for the attribution to the homeopathic treatment should be given.